Supplier Presentation for the Provision of Backfill & Reinstatement Services

**RFP Scoring Criteria and Weightings**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Supplier Presentation**The top three highest scoring bidders overall per lot will be shortlisted and required to present to the NGN evaluation panel for each lot they have applied for. The presentation is required to enable NGN to assess the proposed contractor(s) ability to deliver the services with particular reference to the following: - * Mobilisation Plan
* Contract Fulfilment

The supplier presentation will be scored against the weighting and criteria below using the scoring methodology on page 3 The following NGN evaluation panel will be present in the room

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Department** |
| Ashley Haddock | Procurement Manager |
| Warren Iveson | Totex Business Performance & Assurance Manager |
| Jeffrey Oliver | Regional Reinstatement Manager |
| Jennie Dodds | Quantity Surveyor |
| Rachael Dodds | NRSWA |
| Neil Whalley | Environmental |

 |
|  |
| **Bidder Trial** | **Sub Criteria** | **Max Score** | **Weighting****(max 100%)** |
| **Mobilisation Plan** - Please detail your organisations proposed mobilisation plan from 1st September 2020 (if successfully awarded onto this contract) which should contain as a minimum the following: -* Detailed timeline for mobilisation and processes/procedures required
* Resource Planning (depots, employees, plant, equipment & vehicles)
 | Responses will be reviewed by the NGN evaluation panel following the below scoring methodology for each section within the KPI table  | 10 | **30%** |
| **Contract Fulfilment –** Please detail how you will achieve NGNs stated performance incentive awards within the key performance indicators (KPIs) as detailed within the contract for each year of the initial contract term (3 years) | Responses will be reviewed by the NGN evaluation panel following the below scoring methodology for each section within the KPI table | Safety – 50 pointsCustomer – 40 pointsPerformance – 20 pointsEnvironmental – 60 pointStakeholder – 40 points**Total Points – 190 points** | Safety – 15%Customer – 15%Performance – 20%Environmental – 10%Stakeholder – 10%**Total 70%** |
| **Total** | **200 points** | **100%** |

**Scoring Methodology**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Points** | **Interpretation** |
| **10** | **Excellent** – Overall the response demonstrates that the bidder meets all areas of the requirement and provides all of the areas evidence requested in the level of detail requested. This, therefore, is a detailed excellent response that meets all aspects of the requirement leaving no ambiguity as to whether the bidder can meet the requirement.  |
| **8** | **Good** - Overall the response demonstrates that the bidder meets all areas of the requirement and provides all of the areas of evidence requested but contains some trivial omissions in relation to the level of detail requested in terms of either the response or the evidence. This, therefore, is a good response that meets all aspects of the requirement with only a trivial level ambiguity due the bidder’s failure to provide all information at the level of detail requested.  |
| **5** | **Adequate** - Overall the response demonstrates that the bidder meets all areas of the requirement, but not all of the areas of evidence requested have been provided. This, therefore, is an adequate response, but with some limited ambiguity as to whether the bidder can meet the requirement due to the bidder’s failure to provide all of the evidence requested. |
| **2** | **Poor** – The response does not demonstrate that the bidder meets the requirement in one or more areas. This, therefore, is a poor response with significant ambiguity as to whether the bidder can meet the requirement due to the failure by the bidder to show that it meets one or more areas of the requirement. |
| **0**  | **Unacceptable** - The response is non-compliant with the requirements of the ITT and/or no response has been provided.  |